

SE-290 A/E Performance Evaluation

		A /	E FEIN No.				
Project Name	A/E Nan	A/E Name					
Project No	——— Branch (Office —					
Project Type	A/E Project Mgr.						
Agency		Const. Budget					
Institution	Rating By						
Building	Date of Rating						
C' 'I	Consultants	1					
Civil	Electrical						
Structural	Other						
Mechanical	Other						
Comments							
			•				
Des	ign Development	Phase					
Category	Weight*	Rating	Max. Score	Score			
Depth of Program Review/Understanding			0				
Development and Analysis of Options			0				
Document Quality and Coordination			0				
Design Development Performance Rating			0				
Estimate/Schedule Development & Update			0				
Architectural Design Merit			0				
Engineering Design Merit			0				
Total Design Development Score	N/A	N/A	0				

Design Development Phase Performance Rating

0.00%

Note: Do not fill in shaded areas of this form. They will be filled automatically when data are entered into database.

*Weight factors (1 thru 10) are to be assigned by Agency based on the needs of the specific project ---- Weight x Rating = Score

Rating Scale Total Performance Rating Key Project Type/Complexity 4 = Excellent1 = Complex90 - 100% = Excellent 3 = Very Good2 = Addition/Remodel70 - 89% = Very Good2 = Satisfactory3 = Major Engineering 40 - 69 % = Satisfactory 1 = Poor4 = Standard< 40 % = Unacceptable 0 = Unacceptable5 = UtilitarianPage 1 of 3 6 = Remedial**SE-290**



SE-290 A/E Performance Evaluation

		A /	E FEIN No.				
Project Name	A/E Name						
Project No	Office —						
Project Type	A/E Project Mgr.						
Agency	Award Amount						
Institution	 Rating B	y					
Building	 Date of I	·					
	Consultants						
Civil	Electrica	ıl					
Structural	Other						
Mechanical	Other						
Comments							
Construc	tion Documents/B	id Phase					
Category	Weight*	Rating	Max. Score	Score			
Document Quality & Completeness			0				
Document Coordination			0				
Estimate Update / Level of Detail			0				
Design Development Performance Rating			0				
Design Merit / Technical Detail			0				
Responsiveness to Agency, OSE & Bidders			0				
Communications			0				
Total Construction Documents Score	N/A	N/A	0				

Construction Document/Bid Phase Performance Rating

0.00%

Note: Do not fill in shaded areas of this form. They will be filled automatically when data are entered into database.

*Weight factors (1 thru 10) are to be assigned by Agency based on the needs of the specific project ---- Weight x Rating = Score

Rating Scale Total Performance Rating Key Project Type/Complexity 4 = Excellent1 = Complex90 - 100% = Excellent 3 = Very Good2 = Addition/Remodel70 - 89 % = Very Good 2 = Satisfactory3 = Major Engineering 40 - 69 % = Satisfactory 1 = Poor4 = Standard< 40 % = Unacceptable 0 = Unacceptable5 = UtilitarianPage 2 of 3 **SE-290** 6 = Remedial



SE-290 A/E Performance Evaluation

					-
				A/E FEIN No.	
Project Name		A/E Nar	ne		
Project No.		Branch Office			
Project Type		A/E Project Mgr.			
Agency		— Final Co	_		
Institution		Rating By			
Building		Date of Rating			
		— Consultants	_		
Civil		Electrica			
Structural		Other	_		
		Other	_		
————	Mechanical Oth				
Comments					
		onstruction Ph			
Cate	gory	Weight*	Rating	Max. Score	Score
Participation in Meetings				0	
Communications Design Development Perform	mance Rating			0	
Observation & Reporting	indirec Maring	 		0	
Errors & Omissions Follo				0	
As-Builts, Manuals and So	1			0	
Total Constr		N/A	N/A	0	
		Construct	ion Phase Per	formance Rating	0.00%
	Total Value of Chang	ge Orders (\$)		1	
Agency - Initiated (Change Orders (% of Ori	ginal Award)		Ī	
A/E - Caused C	Change Orders (% of Orig	ginal Award)		Ī	
Note: Do not fill in	shaded areas of this form. T	hey will be filled au	tomatically whe	⊒ n data are entered into d	latabase.
*Weight factors (1 thru 1	0) are to be assigned by Age	=	eds of the speci		=
Rating Scale	Total Perform	nance Rating Key		Project Type/Comp	<u>olexity</u>
4 = Excellent				1 = Complex	

Rating ScaleTotal Performance Rating KeyProject Type/Complexity4 = Excellent1 = Complex3 = Very Good90 - 100% = Excellent2 = Addition/Remodel2 = Satisfactory70 - 89% = Very Good3 = Major Engineering1 = Poor40 - 69% = Satisfactory4 = Standard0 = Unacceptable40 - 69% = Unacceptable5 = UtilitarianPage 3 of 36 = RemedialSE-290